

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,419	07/18/2003	Walter Pokorny	IVd06US 4939	
7590 10. John C. Thompson		7	EXAMINER .	
69 Grayton Roa	ad		LUK, EMMANUEL S	
Tonawanda, NY 14150			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/622,419		POKORNY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emmanuel S. Luk	1722	

	Emmanuel S. Luk	1722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>9/11/07</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	ANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL	dianas with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filad within two mant	no of the date of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0031160				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.135. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 5,9 and 20.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						

Application/Control Number: 10/622,419

Art Unit: 1722

ADVISORY ACTION

Page 2

1. The proposed amended claims 5, 9, and 20 and request for reconsideration have been considered, but the claims are not in condition for allowance. The rejection for the previous would still apply to the proposed amended claims. The arguments set forth by the applicants concern the use of the apparatus for dental restoration products as stated in the preamble. This use does not preclude use of other materials of the apparatus and the use does not further provide structural limitations with this use of dental restoration products. The other argument by the applicants is the energy source. This have been considered concerning light radiation and thermal radiation, this argument however is not persuasive since microwave ovens do provide a light in the oven while the material is heated hence the both light radiation and thermal radiation. The broad claims of a source of both light radiation and thermal radiation would include those of a microwave oven. The applicants also argue concerning the base element with floor surface and receipt region have been noted. However, the claimed structure is similar to the prior art references that also have a base element with floor surface, receipt region and temperature indicating means. The claimed structure is merely that the temperature indicating means is carried by the base element and spaced away from the receipt region. This broad interpretation can range from any distance as long as the temperature indicating means is not in the receipt region itself. In regards to the argument of claim 9, it would be noted that the Christy et al ('578) reference clearly teaches indicator colors (Col. 5, lines 30-31) which does teach the color when the

Application/Control Number: 10/622,419 Page 3

Art Unit: 1722

temperature have been reached. The arguments set forth by the applicants have been considered but are not persuasive. Thus, the all pending claims remain rejected.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

EL